IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 952 of 2000

Between:

Kum.Tupili Debaroh Sugandhi, being minor rep. By her father

Sri Tupili Arthur Prasad, S/o.Late T.A.Rangaiah,
R/o. 55-7-18, Suviseshapuram,
Rajahmundry, East Godavari District. .... PETITIONER

(Corrected as directed by the Hon'ble Court dt.27.01.2000

AND

1 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Social Welfare Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department, Hyderabad.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer,
Maredumilli Mandal, East Godavari District.
4 The Project Officer, I.T.D.A.,
Rampa Chodavaram, East Godavari District.
5 The Convener, E.A.M.C.E.T, 1999, Hyderabad. **...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to declare that the action of the Respondents herein in maintaining complete silence and keeping in abeyance the admission of the Petitioner's daughter in Engineering Course for the year 199-2000 basing on her EAMCET, 1999 ranking, as illegal, arbitrary, bad, irrational illogical, discriminatory, without jurisdiction and violative of Articles 14, and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents herein to give admission to the Petitioner's daughter in First year B.E., 1999-2000 in the Andhra University College of Engineering Visakhapatnam, under the schedule Tribe quota basing on her rank in the E.A.M.C.E.T, 1999 and Caste Certificate issued by the competent authorities, with all consequential benefits and

attendant benefits by the issuance of Writ, Order or Direction(s) essentially in the nature of Writ of Mandamus.And pass any such other Order or Orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit, proper and necessary in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.J.SUDHEER

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR SOCIAL WELFARE

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the cause in the writ petition does not survive and it has become infructuous.

Recording the submission of the learned counsel for petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

16-6-2005

TVK

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Principal Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Social Welfare Department, Secretariat,
Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner, Tribunal Welfare Department,
Hyderabad.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer,
Maredumilli Mandal, East Godavari District.
4 The Project Officer, I.T.D.A.,
Rampa Chodavaram, East Godavari District.
5 The Convener, E.A.M.C.E.T, 1999,
Hyderabad.

6) 2 CD copies

7) 2 C.Cs to G.P. for Social Welfare, High Court buildings, Hyderabad.

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**GSNM**}*